

Alkane oxygenation with H₂O₂ catalysed by FeCl₃ and 2,2'-bipyridine

Georgiy B. Shul'pin,^{a,*} Camilla C. Golfeto,^b Georg Süss-Fink,^c
Lidia S. Shul'pina^d and Dalmo Mandelli^b

^aSemenov Institute of Chemical Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, ulitsa Kosygina, dom 4, Moscow 119991, Russia

^bPontifícia Universidade Católica de Campinas, Faculdade de Química, Rod. D. Pedro I, km 136, Pq. das Universidades, 13020-904, Campinas, SP 13086-900, Brazil

^cInstitut de Chimie, Université de Neuchâtel, Avenue de Bellevaux 51, CH-2007 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

^dNesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, ulitsa Vavilova, dom 28, Moscow 119991, Russia

Abstract—The H₂O₂–FeCl₃–bipy system in acetonitrile efficiently oxidises alkanes predominantly to alkyl hydroperoxides. Turnover numbers attain 400 after 1 h at 60 °C. It has been assumed that bipy facilitates proton abstraction from a H₂O₂ molecule coordinated to the iron ion (these reactions are stages in the catalytic cycle generating hydroxyl radicals from the hydrogen peroxide). Hydroxyl radicals then attack alkane molecules finally yielding the alkyl hydroperoxide.

Keywords: Alkanes; Alkyl hydroperoxides; Biomimetics; Homogeneous catalysis; Hydrogen peroxide; Hydroperoxidation; Iron complexes; Oxygenation.

Iron ions surrounded with certain *N*-containing ligands can activate molecular oxygen and they play an extremely important role in nature, particularly in oxidations of alkanes and other hydrocarbons (see reviews^{1–7}). Surprisingly, in vitro 'simple' iron derivatives are usually poor catalysts for hydrocarbon oxidations with hydrogen peroxide.^{8,9} Iron complexes containing *N*-ligands exhibit higher catalytic activity in comparison with 'simple' salts, and amines added to the reaction solutions either accelerate oxidations or/and significantly change their selectivity.^{10–28}

In the course of our systematic studies of iron-catalysed hydrocarbon oxygenations with peroxides^{4,5,7,12,23,24,27,28} we decided to explore the possibility of enhancing the efficiency of the reaction by addition of certain amines (see a review on the dramatic role of additives in metal-catalysed hydrocarbon oxygenations in solutions⁴). In the present paper we report that 2,2'-bipyridine (bipy) added to iron(III)




Figure 1. Cyclohexane (0.55 mol dm⁻³) oxidation with 35% aqueous H₂O₂ (1.2 mol dm⁻³) catalysed by FeCl₃ (5 × 10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³) and bipy (5 × 10⁻³ mol dm⁻³). Accumulation of cyclohexyl hydroperoxide (ROOH), cyclohexanol (-ol) and cyclohexanone (-one) (concentrations of -ol and -one were measured twice before and after reduction of the reaction mixture with PPh₃) with time is shown. The temperature was 60 °C, and the solvent was acetonitrile.

* Corresponding author. Fax: +7 095 1376130; e-mail: Shulpin@chph.ras.ru
URL: <http://members.fortunecity.com/shulpin>.

chloride used as a catalyst dramatically accelerates oxidation of alkanes with hydrogen peroxide in acetonitrile solution. The oxidations were carried out in air in thermostated (60 °C) Pyrex cylindrical vessels with vigorous stirring. The total volume of the reaction solution was 5 mL. In our experiments, aqueous solutions of hydrogen peroxide were used: either 35% ('Fluka') or 70% ('Peróxidos do Brasil'). The catalyst, $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and the co-catalyst, bipy, were introduced into the reaction mixture in the form of stock solutions in acetonitrile. After given time intervals, samples (about 0.2




Figure 2. Cyclohexane (0.5 mol dm^{-3}) oxidation with 70% aqueous H_2O_2 (0.5 mol dm^{-3}) catalysed by FeCl_3 ($1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$) at various concentrations of added bipy. Accumulation of cyclohexanol (-ol) and cyclohexanone (-one) (concentrations were measured after reduction of the reaction mixture with PPh_3) (graphs a-f) and dependence of initial rate of formation of oxygenates W_0 on the bipy/Fe ratio (graph g) are shown. The temperature was 60 °C, the solvent was acetonitrile.

mL) were taken. Samples of the reaction solutions were usually analysed by GC (instruments 'HP Series 6890' and 'DANI-86.10'; fused silica capillary columns) twice, before and after addition of an excess of solid triphenylphosphine. Triphenylphosphine reduces hydrogen peroxide to water and the alkyl hydroperoxide to the corresponding alcohol, and the comparison of the reaction chromatograms before and after the reduction allowed us to estimate the real concentrations of the alkyl hydroperoxide, formed from the alkane, as well as the concentrations of the alcohol and the ketone. This method was developed and used by us previously.^{4,5,7,12,23,24,27-30} In the kinetic studies presented below, we measured the concentrations of the cyclohexanone and cyclohexanol only after reduction with PPh_3 because in this way we obtained more precise values of the initial reaction rates.




Figure 3. Cyclohexane (0.5 mol dm^{-3}) oxidation with 70% aqueous H_2O_2 (0.5 mol dm^{-3}) catalysed by the ' FeCl_3 -bipy' system at various concentrations of both FeCl_3 and bipy at constant ratio bipy/ $\text{FeCl}_3 = 2$. Accumulation of cyclohexanol (-ol) and cyclohexanone (-one) (concentrations were measured after reduction of the reaction mixture with PPh_3) (graphs a-d) and dependence of initial rate of formation of all oxygenates W_0 on the concentration of FeCl_3 and bipy (graph e) are shown. The temperature was 60 °C, the solvent was acetonitrile.

Oxidation of cyclohexane by the H_2O_2 - FeCl_3 -bipy system gave rise to formation of cyclohexyl hydroperoxide predominantly which was transformed in the course of the reaction into cyclohexanol and cyclohexanone (Fig. 1). Turnover numbers (total moles of products produced per one mole of a catalyst; TONs) attained 205 after 2.5 h at $[\text{FeCl}_3] = 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$.

When the concentration of FeCl_3 was lower ($1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$) we measured $\text{TON} = 410$ after 1 h (Fig. 2, graph d). At this catalyst concentration, the maximum initial rate of the cyclohexane oxygenation was found for the ratio bipy/Fe = 2–4 (Fig. 2, graph g). It should be noted that the initial oxidation rate

was ca. 35 times higher in the reaction co-catalysed by bipy in comparison with the reaction in the absence of bipy (compare graphs a, d and e in Fig. 2).

Figure 3 demonstrates reaction profiles for cyclohexane oxidations at various catalyst concentrations (with fixed ratio bipy/Fe = 2). Maximum initial rate was attained at $[\text{FeCl}_3] = 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ and $[\text{bipy}] = 4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ (graph e). It is interesting that at high concentrations of the catalytic system, the cyclohexyl hydroperoxide formed in the alkane oxygenation process decomposed extensively to produce substantial amounts of the corresponding ketone (compare graph a with graph c and especially with graph d). Thus, it

Table 1. Selectivities of alkane oxidations by various systems in MeCN^a

Entry	System	Hydrocarbon oxidised (the selectivity parameter)				
		<i>n</i> -Hexane	3-MH	2,4,4-TMP	MCH	<i>cis</i> -1,2-DMCH
		1:2:3	1°:2°:3°	1°:2°:3°	1°:2°:3°	<i>trans/cis</i>
1	H_2O_2 - FeCl_3 -bipy	1:5.1:6.7	1:2.0:8.8	1:3.7:10.5	1:4.7:14.7	0.85
2	H_2O_2 - FeCl_3			1:7:57		1.0 ^b
3	H_2O_2 - $\text{Fe}(\text{ClO}_4)_3$	1:9:9	1:4:30	1:5:45	1:4:30	
4	H_2O_2 -h ν	1:10:7	1:4:12	1:2:6		0.9
5	H_2O_2 - VO_3^- -PCA ^c	1:8:7	1:5.7:22	1:4:9	1:6:22	0.75
6	H_2O_2 - $[\text{LMn}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})_3\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}\text{L}]^{2+\text{d}}$	1:42:37:34 ^e	1:22:200	1:5:55	1:26:200	0.34


^a The concentrations of alcohols formed in the reaction were measured after reduction with PPh_3 . Substrates: 3-methylhexane, 3-MH; 2,4,4-trimethylpentane, 2,4,4-TMP (isooctane); methylcyclohexane, MCH; *cis*-1,2-dimethylcyclohexane, *cis*-1,2-DMCH. Parameter 1:2:3 is normalised (i.e., calculated taking into account the number of hydrogen atoms at each position) relative reactivities of hydrogen atoms in positions 1, 2 and 3 of the hydrocarbon chain, respectively. Parameter 1°:2°:3° is the normalised relative reactivities of hydrogen atoms at primary, secondary and tertiary carbons, respectively. Parameter *trans/cis* = [*trans*-ol]/[*cis*-ol] is the ratio of concentrations of tertiary alcohols *trans*-ol and *cis*-ol formed in the oxidation of *cis*-1,2-dimethylcyclohexane.

^b *cis*-Decalin was used instead of *cis*-1,2-DMCH.

^c PCA, pyrazine-2-carboxylic acid. For this system, see Refs. 1,2,4,5,23,29,31,32.

^d Complex $[\text{LMn}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})_3\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}\text{L}]^{2+}$, where L = 1,4,7-trimethyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane. The oxidation at 20 °C proceeds only in the presence of CH_3COOH in low concentration. For this system, see Refs. 2,4,5,33–38.

^e *n*-Heptane was used instead of *n*-hexane.



Scheme 1. Catalytic cycle proposed for hydroxyl radical generation from hydrogen peroxide catalysed by an iron(III) complex and assisted with bipyridine.

can be concluded that the FeCl₃–bipy combination in acetonitrile is a catalyst for transformation of alkyl hydroperoxides into the corresponding ketones.

Selectivity parameters for the oxidation of certain hydrocarbons by H₂O₂–FeCl₃–bipy are given in Table 1, which also summarises the corresponding data for other alkane-oxygenating systems, particularly for the H₂O₂–VO₃[–]–PCA reagent which is known to generate hydroxyl radicals,^{1,2,4,5,23,29,31,32} and for H₂O₂–LMn^{IV}–(O)₃Mn^{IV}L–CH₃COOH (where L = 1,4,7-trimethyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane) system^{2,4,5,33–38} which is believed to oxidise alkanes via attack of Mn(V)=O species on a C–H bond. It can be seen that oxidations of all the test hydrocarbons proceeded with low selectivity parameters which are close to the parameters determined for the hydroxyl-generating systems: H₂O₂–hν and H₂O₂–VO₃[–]–PCA. The oxidation of a disubstituted cyclohexane was not a stereoselective reaction.

All these data clearly testify that the mechanism of alkane oxidation by the H₂O₂–FeCl₃–bipy system in acetonitrile includes the formation of free hydroxyl radicals. Scheme 1 shows a catalytic cycle which we propose for hydroxyl radical generation by the system under consideration. One can assume that the role of bipy is proton transfer (via protonation–deprotonation steps of the *N*-atom) of a coordinated H₂O₂ molecule (structure **B**) to an –OH ligand resulting in the formation of a hydroperoxyl derivative **E**. Bipyridine could also assist the transformation of **F** to **G**. Species **G** generates a hydroxyl radical with the simultaneous conversion into the starting species **A**.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Swiss National Science Foundation, the State of São Paulo Research Foundation (Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo, FAPESP), the Brazilian National Council on Scientific and Technological Development (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, CNPq, Brazil) and the Russian Basic Research Foundation for support. This work was also supported by a grant from the Section of Chemistry and Material Science of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Program ‘A theoretical and experimental study of chemical bonds and mechanisms of main chemical processes’).

G. B. Shul’pin expresses his gratitude to the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant No. 20-64832.01), the FAPESP (Grant No. 2002/08495-4), the CNPq (Grant No. 300601/01-8), Institut de Chimie, Université de Neuchâtel and the Faculdade de Química, Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Campinas for making it possible for him to stay at these Universities as invited Professor and to perform a part of the presentwork.

References and notes

- Shilov, A. E.; Shul’pin, G. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 2879–2932.
- Shilov, A. E.; Shul’pin, G. B. *Activation and Catalytic Reactions of Saturated Hydrocarbons in the Presence of Metal Complexes*; Kluwer Academic: Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2000, Chapter X (Homogeneous catalytic oxidation of hydrocarbons by peroxides and other oxygen atom donors).
- Dunford, H. B. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *233–234*, 311–318.
- Shul’pin, G. B. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2002**, *189*, 39–66.
- Shul’pin, G. B. *C. R. Chim.* **2003**, *6*, 163–178.
- Friesner, R. A.; Baik, M.-H.; Gherman, B. F.; Guallar, V.; Wirstam, M.; Murphy, R. B.; Lippard, S. J. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *238–239*, 267–290.
- Shul’pin, G. B. *Oxidations of C–H Compounds Catalyzed by Metal Complexes*, 2nd ed. In *Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis*; Beller, M., Bolm, C., Eds.; Wiley–VCH: Weinheim/New York, 2004; Vol. 2, pp 215–242, Chapter 2.2.
- Gozzo, F. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2001**, *171*, 1–22.
- Buda, F.; Ensing, B.; Gribnau, M. C. M.; Baerends, E. J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 3436–3444.
- Nam, W.; Valentine, S. *New J. Chem.* **1989**, *13*, 677–682.
- Fish, R. H.; Konings, M. S.; Oberhausen, K. J.; Fong, R. H.; Yu, W. M.; Christou, G.; Vincent, J. B.; Coggin, D. K.; Buchanan, R. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 3002–3006.
- Shul’pin, G. B.; Nizova, G. V. *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.* **1992**, *48*, 333–338.
- Perkins, M. J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1996**, 229–236.
- Kulikova, V. S.; Gritsenko, O. N.; Shteinman, A. A. *Mendeleev Commun.* **1996**, 119–120.
- Liu, C.; Ye, X.; Zhan, R.; Wu, Y. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **1996**, *112*, 15–22.
- Ménage, S.; Vincent, J. M.; Lambeaux, C.; Fontecave, M. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **1996**, *113*, 61–75.
- Duboc-Toia, C.; Ménage, S.; Lambeaux, C.; Fontecave, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 3727–3730.
- Nishino, S.; Hosomi, H.; Ohba, S.; Matsushima, H.; Tokii, T.; Nishida, Y. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1999**, 1509–1513.
- Mekmouche, Y.; Duboc-Toia, C.; Ménage, S.; Lambeaux, C.; Fontecave, M. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2000**, *156*, 85–89.
- Roelfes, G.; Lubben, M.; Hage, R.; Que, L., Jr. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 2152–2159.
- Bréhéret, A.; Lambeaux, C.; Ménage, S.; Fontecave, M.; Dallemer, F.; Fache, E.; Pierre, J.-L.; Chautemps, P.; Averbusch-Pouchot, M.-T. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série IIc, Chem.* **2001**, *4*, 27–34.
- Chen, K.; Que, L., Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 6327–6337.
- Shul’pin, G. B.; Kozlov, Y. N.; Nizova, G. V.; Süß-Fink, G.; Stanislas, S.; Kitaygorodskiy, A.; Kulikova, V. S. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **2001**, 1351–1371.
- Nizova, G. V.; Krebs, B.; Süß-Fink, G.; Schindler, S.; Westerheide, L.; Gonzalez Cuervo, L.; Shul’pin, G. B. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 9231–9237.
- Balogh-Hergovich, É.; Speier, G.; Réglie, M.; Giorgi, M.; Kuzmann, E.; Vértes, A. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, 1735–1740.
- Pacześniak, T.; Sobkowiak, A. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2003**, *194*, 1–11.
- Shul’pin, G. B.; Stoeckli-Evans, H.; Mandelli, D.; Kozlov, Y. N.; Tesouro Vallina, A.; Woitiski, C. B.; Jimenez, R. S.; Carvalho, W. A. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2004**, *219*, 255–264.
- Shul’pin, G. B.; Nizova, G. V.; Kozlov, Y. N.; Gonzalez Cuervo, L.; Süß-Fink, G. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2004**, *346*, 317–332.
- Shul’pin, G. B.; Attanasio, D.; Suber, L. *J. Catal.* **1993**, *142*, 147–152.

30. Shul'pin, G. B.; Nizova, G. V.; Kozlov, Y. N. *New J. Chem.* **1996**, *20*, 1243–1256.
31. de la Cruz, M. H. C.; Kozlov, Y. N.; Lachter, E. R.; Shul'pin, G. B. *New J. Chem.* **2003**, *27*, 634–638.
32. Kozlov, Y. N.; Nizova, G. V.; Shul'pin, G. B. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2005**, *227*, 247–253.
33. Shul'pin, G. B.; Süss-Fink, G.; Lindsay Smith, J. R. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 5345–5358.
34. Shul'pin, G. B.; Süss-Fink, G.; Shul'pina, L. S. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2001**, *170*, 17–34.
35. Shul'pin, G. B.; Nizova, G. V.; Kozlov, Y. N.; Pechenkina, I. G. *New J. Chem.* **2002**, *26*, 1238–1245.
36. Nizova, G. V.; Bolm, C.; Ceccarelli, S.; Pavan, C.; Shul'pin, G. B. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2002**, *344*, 899–905.
37. Woitiski, C. B.; Kozlov, Y. N.; Mandelli, D.; Nizova, G. V.; Schuchardt, U.; Shul'pin, G. B. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2004**, *222*, 103–119.
38. Shul'pin, G. B.; Nizova, G. V.; Kozlov, Y. N.; Arutyunov, V. S.; dos Santos, A. C. M.; Ferreira, A. C. T.; Mandelli, D. *J. Organomet. Chem.*, in press.