

Assessing the responses of *Sphagnum* micro-eukaryotes to climate changes using high throughput sequencing

Monika K. Reczuga^{1,2,3}, Christophe Victor William Seppey^{2,4}, Matthieu Mulot², Vincent E.J. Jassey^{5,6,7}, Alexandre Buttler^{6,7}, Sandra Słowińska⁸, Michał Słowiński⁹, Enrique Lara^{2,10}, Mariusz Lamentowicz¹ and Edward A.D. Mitchell^{2,11}

¹ Climate Change Ecology Research Unit, Faculty of Geographical and Geological Sciences, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland

² Laboratory of Soil Biodiversity, University of Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland

³ Institute of Environmental Biology, Faculty of Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland

⁴ Department of Arctic and Marine Biology, Faculty of Biosciences Fisheries and Economics, University of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway

⁵ Laboratoire Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Environnement, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse Cedex, France

⁶ Ecological Systems Laboratory, School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

⁷ Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research, Site Lausanne, Switzerland

⁸ Department of Geoecology and Climatology, Institute of Geography and Spatial Organization, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

⁹ Past Landscape Dynamics Laboratory, Institute of Geography and Spatial Organization, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

¹⁰ Real Jardín Botánico, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Madrid, Spain

¹¹ Jardin Botanique de Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland

ABSTRACT

Current projections suggest that climate warming will be accompanied by more frequent and severe drought events. Peatlands store ca. one third of the world's soil organic carbon. Warming and drought may cause peatlands to become carbon sources through stimulation of microbial activity increasing ecosystem respiration, with positive feedback effect on global warming. Micro-eukaryotes play a key role in the carbon cycle through food web interactions and therefore, alterations in their community structure and diversity may affect ecosystem functioning and could reflect these changes. We assessed the diversity and community composition of *Sphagnum*-associated eukaryotic microorganisms inhabiting peatlands and their response to experimental drought and warming using high throughput sequencing of environmental DNA. Under drier conditions, micro-eukaryotic diversity decreased, the relative abundance of autotrophs increased and that of osmotrophs (including Fungi and Peronosporomycetes) decreased. Furthermore, we identified climate change indicators that could be used as early indicators of change in peatland microbial communities and ecosystem functioning. The changes we observed indicate a shift towards a more “terrestrial” community in response to drought, in line with observed changes in the functioning of the ecosystem.

Subjects Biodiversity, Ecology, Soil Science, Climate Change Biology

Keywords Wetlands, Protists, Warming, Water table manipulation, Food-web, Biodiversity, Community structure

Submitted 10 November 2019

Accepted 5 August 2020

Published 18 September 2020

Corresponding author

Monika K. Reczuga,
reczuga@amu.edu.pl

Academic editor

Xavier Le Roux

Additional Information and
Declarations can be found on
page 17

DOI 10.7717/peerj.9821

© Copyright

2020 Reczuga et al.

Distributed under

Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

INTRODUCTION

Although much remains to be done before we can fully predict future climate variability (Ljungqvist et al., 2016), current projections suggest that climate warming will be accompanied by more frequent and severe drought events (Dai, 2013) thus affecting terrestrial communities. Amongst these, eukaryotic micro-organisms play key roles in ecosystem functioning as primary producers, decomposers predators and parasites (e.g., Fell et al., 2006; Geisen et al., 2018; Jassey et al., 2013a). Alterations in micro-eukaryotic community structure and diversity caused by ongoing environmental changes, including global warming and drought, may affect ecosystem functioning (Arndt & Monsonis Nomdedeu, 2016; Petchey et al., 1999), making it all the more necessary to include micro-eukaryotes in climate change studies (Cavicchioli et al. (2019).

Peatlands store one third of the world's soil organic carbon (i.e., 500 ± 100 GtC; Yu, 2012), but are particularly sensitive to climate induced perturbations, threatening their role in the C-cycle. Indeed, warming accompanied by drought which stimulates microbial activity (Bardgett, Freeman & Ostle, 2008; Freixa et al., 2017) leads to disruption of the peatlands' function as C sinks and turns these ecosystems into carbon sources through increased respiration (Dorrepaal et al., 2009), with positive feedback effect on warming (Baird et al., 2013; Davidson & Janssens, 2006; Gorham, 1991; Yu, 2012). Peatland carbon balance is controlled by primary production (mainly by plants, to a lesser extent by autotrophic and mixotrophic micro-organisms; Jassey et al. (2015)) and decomposition (mainly by bacteria and fungi). Microbial activity is increased by micro-eukaryotic grazing on bacteria and fungi (e.g., Hahn & Höfle, 2001), implying that the standing biomass of bacteria and fungi does not necessarily correlate to decomposition intensity and their turnover may be enhanced under warmer or drier conditions. Therefore, identifying the factors that influence micro-eukaryotic community structure and diversity is crucial for our understanding of potential peatland feedbacks on climate warming.

The significance of peatlands in the global C cycle is in large part due to the unique biological characteristics of *Sphagnum* mosses, the main builders of high latitude peatlands. *Sphagnum* mosses are adapted to acidic, water-logged and nutrient-poor conditions, which they contribute to create (Rydin, Jeglum & Hooijer, 2006). Clymo & Hayward (1982) suggested that more C might be stored in *Sphagnum* (living and dead) than in any other plant genus. *Sphagnum* and its associated specific microbial community constitutes a microecosystem called "sphagnosphere" and this complex system may be the key to the nutrient cycling in peatlands (Chiapusio et al., 2013), and hence to its C-sequestering function. *Sphagnum*-associated microbial communities change in composition and also functionally along climatic (Singer et al., 2019) and micro-environmental gradients (Mitchell et al., 2003). The *Sphagnum* microbiome may supports its host through nutrient supply and defence against pathogens (Bragina et al., 2013; Raghoebarsing et al., 2005), and ultimately the ecosystem under changing climate (Bragina et al., 2014).

Micro-eukaryotes include protists, fungi, microscopic animals and eukaryotic "micro-algae". These organisms are essential components of peatland ecosystems (Lara et al., 2011; Reczuga et al., 2018). Phototrophic micro-eukaryotes (= "microalgae", here excluding

cyanobacteria) in peatlands play key roles in photosynthetic C fixation and thus in primary production (e.g., [Jassey et al., 2015](#); [Schmidt, Dyckmans & Schrader, 2016](#)), while organic matter cycling is driven by phagotrophic protists predating on bacteria and fungi ([Jürgens & Sala, 2000](#); [Ribblett, Palmer & Wayne Coats, 2005](#)). Micro-eukaryotes take part in nutrient cycling by transferring nutrients to higher trophic levels through microbial food web ([Crotty et al., 2012](#); [Gilbert et al., 1998](#)). For example, soil protists grazing on bacteria and other microorganisms release nitrogen and other nutrients making them available for plants ([Bonkowski, 2004](#)). In *Sphagnum*-associated microbial community, large amoebae are top microbial predators and consume a wide variety of prey, such as bacteria, fungi, micro-algae and micro-invertebrates ([Jassey et al., 2012](#)). Micro-eukaryotes can also act as parasites of other organisms ([Geisen, 2016b](#); [Geisen et al., 2015](#)) and play numerous important, previously unrecognized, functions ([Geisen, 2016a](#)). Despite growing knowledge about the diversity of eukaryotic microbes associated with *Sphagnum* ([Jassey et al., 2013a](#); [Jassey et al., 2015](#); [Singer et al., 2019](#); [Tsyganov et al., 2012](#)), still little is known about their response to climate changes.

Warming has indirect effects on microbial food web structure, altering the community composition and ecosystem functioning ([Jassey et al., 2011](#)). For instance, [Tsyganov et al. \(2012\)](#) have shown that the response to climate change differs among seasons, and that testate amoebae, a dominant group of microbial top predators in peatlands ([Gilbert et al., 1998](#); [Jassey et al., 2013b](#); [Jassey et al., 2012](#); [Meyer et al., 2013](#); [Mieczan, 2007](#)) are especially sensitive during the growing season. [Tveit et al. \(2015\)](#) showed that warming caused an increase in the relative abundance of Cercozoans (phagotrophic protists), higher substrate turnover and microbial activity as well as changes in methanogenic pathways. [Jassey et al. \(2013a\)](#) demonstrated that warming reduced the abundance of top predators while the biomass of bacteria increased. Such changes in microbial community structure can potentially alter the above- belowground linkages, destabilizing the C cycle ([Jassey et al., 2013a](#)).

Peatlands are particularly sensitive to drought, as these ecosystems are primarily controlled by the high water level that creates anoxic conditions allowing peat to accumulate. Indeed, severe drought might induce changes in peatland ecosystem function, turning them into carbon sources ([Jassey et al., 2018](#)). Drought alters community composition ([Potter et al., 2017](#)), shifting the community towards species adapted to dry habitats ([Koenig, Mulot & Mitchell, 2018](#)). Prolonged drought conditions might lead to loss of key species or decrease their abundance below the level necessary to drive ecosystem function ([Jenkins & Boulton, 2007](#)). The resulting destabilization of the food-web structure might then cross a tipping point and shift the C balance ([Dakos et al., 2019](#); [Lamentowicz et al., 2019](#)).

The goal of this study was to assess the diversity and community composition of eukaryotic microorganisms inhabiting *Sphagnum* in peatlands, with a main focus on protist communities, and their response to experimental drought and warming. We hypothesized that (1) the diversity and community structure of micro-eukaryotes vary in response to drought and warming. More specifically, we hypothesised that (2) drought causes a decrease in the relative abundance and diversity of primary producers, as microalgae predominantly inhabit aquatic environments (e.g., [Ray et al., 2019](#)), (3) an increase in

the diversity and relative proportion of fungi, as it has been suggested that drought leads to increased dominance of fungi (Jensen et al., 2003), and this agrees with observational evidence for a higher contribution of fungi to overall microbial biomass under drier conditions (Mitchell et al., 2003), although responses might be site-specific (Jaatinen et al., 2007); (4) the effects of drought are exacerbated by the effects of warming; and (5) drought has a stronger effect than warming. To this aim, we conducted a passive warming and water table depth field manipulative experiment and assessed the diversity of micro-eukaryotes by high throughput sequencing (Illumina HiSeq) of the SSU rRNA V9 region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site and field experiment

The study site, Linje peatland (53°11'15"N, 18°18'34"E), is situated in northern Poland within the Complex of Chełmno and Vistula Landscape Parks at 91 m a.s.l. The climate is classified as warm temperate, fully humid with warm summers (Kottek et al., 2006) or cold without dry season with warm summer (Beck et al., 2018). Average annual precipitation in this region is between 500–550 mm and average annual air temperature is between 7.5–8.0 °C. The peatland is dominated by *Sphagnum fallax*, *Eriophorum vaginatum*, *Oxycoccus palustris*, *Andromeda polifolia*, *Aulacomnium palustre*, and *Drosera rotundifolia* (Boiński & Boińska, 2004). The experimental site included also shrubs and young trees such as *Betula nana* and *Pinus sylvestris*, respectively.

To simulate warming, we used Open Top Chambers (OTCs), which are commonly used in manipulative experiments *in situ* (e.g., Delarue et al., 2011; Jassey et al., 2013a; Marion et al., 1997), while water table depth was manipulated by adding or removing peat (Lamentowicz et al., 2016; Reczuga et al., 2018). The advantage of manipulative field experiments is that they are performed under realistic environmental conditions with community composition and abiotic drivers as they appear and work in the field (Underwood, 2009). Field experiments were approved by The Regional Directorate for Environmental Protection in Bydgoszcz (WPN.6205.70.2011.KLD). The experimental design with plots and treatments are given in Fig. 1.

In August 2012, experimental plots were defined according to a full factorial design involving two temperatures and three water table depths. The temperature increase effect was manipulated using OTCs or let under ambient temperature as control (AMB). OTCs are passive warming systems that allow increasing mean temperature by ca. 1.2 °C to 1.8 °C (Marion et al., 1997). The hexagonal OTC chambers were constructed with transparent polycarbonate material. The internal diameter between opposite corners was 250 cm at the base. To allow for air circulation, the OTCs were placed 10 cm above the soil surface. We manipulated water table depth by adding or removing 10 cm layers of peat in 1-m² plots. For each plot four subplots (50 × 50 cm and 30 cm thick) were cut. They were attributed to different measures (e.g., moss sampling for this study, respiration, biomass). Each plot belonged to one of three water table treatments: control (subplots cut and put back in place, abbreviation: CON), wet (10 cm of peat removed beneath each subplot before putting the subplot back in place, abbr.: WET), dry (10 cm of peat added from the wet treatment before




Figure 1 Experiment design showing localization of the plots on the experimental site with its board walk. Hexagons indicate warming treatment (OTC), squares indicate plots without OTC. Water table treatments are indicated with colours as shown in the legend. Adapted from *Lamentowicz et al. (2016)*.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.9821/fig-1](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.9821/fig-1)

putting the subplot back in place, abbr.: DRY). CON treatment was cut and put back in place to control for the disturbance introduced by cutting. Each plot was a combination of one of the three water table treatments and one of the two warming treatments. All six combinations were replicated three times, giving a total of 18 plots (subplots within the experimental plot belonged to the same treatment). Treatments were assigned to the plots randomly. Air temperature was recorded in each plot at 30 cm height using HOBO U23 Pro v2 data loggers (Onset Computer Corporation, USA) and the water table depth (WTD) was measured at each sampling (given in cm below the soil surface). Whenever we are referring to the measured values we use abbreviation WTD, while term “water table treatments” is used when we refer to the levels of manipulation (i.e., DRY, CON, and WET). A subset of the data from the experiment described in this study has already been published elsewhere (*Jassey et al., 2018*; *Lamentowicz et al., 2016*; *Samson et al., 2018*). The experimental design was described by *Lamentowicz et al. (2016)*, where further details can be found. The effect of warming manipulation on temperature has been published in *Samson et al. (2018)*, a study describing influence of warming and water table treatments on carbon dioxide release.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and next-generation sequencing

Two to three individual *Sphagnum* stems (top three cm, including capitula) were sampled in three different seasons in each of the plots on May 16th (spring), August 20th (summer) and November 7th (autumn) in year 2013 and fixed in LifeGuard™ Soil Preservation Solution (MoBio, Carlsbad, CA USA). The plots were placed in monospecific *Sphagnum fallax* lawns and therefore we did not consider the species level identification of the sampled *Sphagnum*. In total 54 samples were taken (6 combinations of treatments * 3 replicates * 3 seasons). We sampled only the top part of the mosses as previous studies proved this part to be the most sensitive to the changes in the water table depth and warming manipulations (Basińska et al., 2020; Reczuga et al., 2018). DNA was extracted using the PowerSoil®DNA isolation kit (MoBio, Carlsbad, CA USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The DNA was extracted from two to three sampled *Sphagnum* mosses, which is approximately 0.3–0.5 g of material per plot. PCR amplification of the SSU rDNA V9 region was carried out with eukaryotic-specific primers 1380F (CCCTGCCHTTTGTACACAC) and 1510R (CCTTCYGCAGGTTACCTAC) (Amaral-Zettler et al., 2009). PCR reactions were conducted according to the following conditions: denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, 25 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 57 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 60 s and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min (Amaral-Zettler et al., 2009). PCR reactions were run with a SensoQuest Labcycler (GmbH, Göttingen, Germany) with 3 l of environmental DNA extract, 4 µL of 5X Colorless GoTaq®Buffer, 0.6 µL of each primer, 0.6 µL of dNTP Mix 10 mM (Promega, Dübendorf, Switzerland) and 0.2 µL of 0.05 U/µL Go Taq Polymerase (Promega, Dübendorf, Switzerland). Each PCR reaction was performed with a positive and a negative control. Negative controls included PCR reaction mix with DNA extract replaced with water. The purification step was done using the Wizard®SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega, Madison, WI USA). Library preparation and paired end sequencing was performed at the iGE3 Genomics Platform of the University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland using Illumina HiSeq technology (2 × 150 bp).

Sequence analysis

The computational pipeline used to analyse the reads includes the following steps: trimming of the tagged primer sequences, quality check, removal of rare sequences (i.e., OTU's occurring less than 3 times in the full dataset), clustering, and taxonomic assignation. For a given read, the quality check was based on moving windows of 50 nucleotides. The probability of incorrect base call was calculated for every nucleotide based on the phred score and arithmetic mean of these probabilities was calculated for every window. To avoid artefactual sequences; for the same purpose, we kept only reads that were present at least three times in the full dataset (De Vargas et al., 2015). Sequence clustering was then performed using Swarm v. 1.2.12 (Mahé et al., 2014). Taxonomic assignation of the resulting OTUs (Operational Taxonomic Units) was done by pairwise alignment of the dominant sequences of each OTU against a selection of dereplicated V9 regions from the PR² database (Guillou et al., 2013) using ggsearch36 v. 36.3.6 (Pearson, 1999). The sequences assigned to Metazoa and Embryophyceae were excluded from further analysis. In order to remove possible false positive sequences only OTU's occurring at least 10

Table 1 Taxonomic groups corresponding to each functional group. The list of taxa is based on OTU assignments using the PR2 database.

Functional group	Taxonomic groups from PR ² database assigned to the given functional group
Autotrophs	Dinophyceae, Chlorophyceae, Mamiellophyceae, Trebouxiophyceae, Klebsormidiophyceae, Zygnemophyceae, Bacillariophyta, Eustigmatophyceae
Mixotrophs	Euglenozoa, Cryptophyceae, Prymnesiophyceae, Chrysophyta (pro parte)
Phagotrophs	Colpodea, Heterotrichea, Litostomatea, Nassophorea, Oligohymenophorea, Phyllopharyngea, Prostomatea, Spirotrichea, Mycetozoa-Myxogastrea, Variosea, Discosea-Longamoebia, Heterolobosea, Centroheliozoa, Katablepharidaceae, Choanoflagellata, Nucleariidea, Endomyxa, Filosa-Granofilosea, Filosa-Imbricatea, Filosa-Metromonadea, Filosa-Sarcomonadea, Filosa-Thecofilosea, Bicoecia, Hyphochytriomyceta, Labyrinthulea, MAST, Chrysophyta (pro parte)
Osmotrophs	Ascomycota, Basidiomycota, Blastocladiomycota, Zoopagomycota, Mucoromycota
Parasites	Apicomplexa, Cryptomycota, Rhyzophidiales, Ichthyosporia, Oomycota (Peronosporomycetes)

times in the dataset were retained for further numerical analyses. Based on the taxonomic assignment, each OTU was assigned to a functional group (autotrophs, mixotrophs, parasites, osmotrophs and phagotrophs) according to Table 1. The assignment of OTUs to the functional groups was based on the expert curation (Enrique Lara). OTUs annotated in PR² database with an unknown taxonomic identity (Guillou *et al.*, 2013), were cross-checked individually by aligning them against the NCBI's nucleotide database using BLAST algorithm with default parameters.

Growing degree day

To link the micro-eukaryotic communities with warming, we used a growing-degree day (GDD) approach, also known as accumulated degree day (ADD). The GDD is widely being used to predict plant and insect phenology and has more recently been used to relate e.g., grasshopper and butterfly community changes to climate change (e.g., Cayton *et al.*, 2015; Nufio *et al.*, 2010).

$$GDD = \frac{T_{\max} + T_{\min}}{2} - T_{\text{base}}$$

where,

$T_{\max}$ are daily maximum air temperature in °C,

$T_{\min}$ are daily minimum air temperature in °C,

T_{base} is a base temperature, equal to 10 °C.

For each sample, the GDD was calculated for 135 days—the number of days since the beginning of the year until the first sampling.

Numerical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed in R version 3.5.1 (*R Core Team, 2012*) using RStudio Version 1.1.423 (*RStudio Team, 2012*). Whenever measured water table depth is used as a variable, we call it WTD, while whenever term “water table treatments” is used we refer to the levels of manipulation (i.e., DRY, CON, WET). The warming treatment effect on GDD and water table treatment on WTD was tested using ANOVA followed by Tukey multiple comparisons of means. We tested for treatment effects (both warming and water table manipulation) on the diversity patterns of micro-eukaryotic communities using the Shannon diversity index, rarefied OTU richness and evenness. OTU richness was estimated using *rarefy* function in the *vegan* package (*Oksanen et al., 2014*) at the sample size of 2410 (minimum number of reads across all samples) to compensate for the variability of the sample sizes. The sequencing effort between the samples was compared by drawing rarefaction curves using *rarecurve* function and by comparing slope calculated using *rareslope* function of the *vegan* R package (*Oksanen et al., 2014*). The slope was also calculated at the sample size of 2410. To test the significance of differences along measured WTD and temperature gradient (calculated GDD) linear mixed effects models with measured WTD and calculated GDD as fixed factors and season nested in plot as a random effect to account for the differences between plots across time. The assumptions of homoscedasticity and normality were previously tested. The tests were performed using *lme* function in the nlme package (*Pinheiro et al., 2019*). To further test the correlation between diversity and WTD and GDD, we calculated Pearson’s correlation using *cor.test* function.

Subsequently, to test the response of micro-eukaryotes to the GDD, measured water table depth (WTD (cm)) and seasons, at the community level, we performed an RDA (Redundancy Analysis) based on Hellinger-transformed OTU counts. The RDA was done using *rda* function in the *vegan* package (*Oksanen et al., 2014*). Significance tests of each variable and axis in RDA models were tested using permutation tests (*anova.cca* function in the *vegan* package). To identify micro-eukaryotic indicators of climate change, we used Dufrene-Legendre indicator species analysis (*Dufrene & Legendre, 1997*) and *multipatt* function in the *indicspecies* package (*De Cáceres & Legendre, 2009*). To manually verify taxonomic assignation against more wholesome database, the selected indicators’ (with the highest indicator value) sequences were cross-checked by aligning them against the NCBI’s nucleotide database using BLAST algorithm with default parameters.

RESULTS

Warming and water table manipulation effects on microclimatic conditions

Mean maximum daily temperature was about 1.1–1.2 °C higher in the OTC than in the ambient plots (*Samson et al., 2018*). The warming effect varied seasonally and over daytime, reaching a maximum effect of Δ 4.1 °C on 5th of May 2013 and causing a slight cooling effect during winter and during the night (*Lamentowicz et al., 2016*). Mean daily air temperature was calculated on the basis of 10-minute averages. For each sampling, the

temperature of the month prior to the sampling was calculated as the average of the mean daily air temperature. This mean was higher in the OTC than in ambient plots in spring and summer periods (by 0.54° and 0.43° , respectively). In each season WTD was higher (i.e., lower water table) in DRY as compared to the CON and WET. Mean WTD in DRY was 15 cm, 46 cm and 25 cm in spring, summer and autumn sampling, respectively, while in CON it was nine cm, 40 cm and 19 cm and in WET it was eight cm, 40 cm, 19 cm (Table S1, Fig. S1). Significant differences were observed between CON and DRY as well as between WET and DRY (Tukey, $p < 0.05$), while no significant difference was observed between WET and CON (Tukey, $p = 0.6$). GDD differed significantly (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$) between AMB and OTC across seasons, with mean GDD of 76, 732 and 549 in AMB spring, summer and autumn periods, respectively, while OTC mean GDD was 101, 847 and 615 in spring, summer and autumn periods, respectively (Table S2). Significant differences between GDD in AMB and OTC were observed at each season (Tukey, $p < 0.05$). The environmental variables in experimental plots are provided in Data S1.

Micro-eukaryotic community structure

The Illumina sequencing generated 37,804,202 raw eukaryotic SSU V9 reads. Of these, the number dropped to 29,791,631 after quality check. These sequences were then clustered in 2,043 OTUs, reduced to 1,397 OTUs after removing sequences assigned to Metazoa or Embryophyceae. The abundance of each of the 2,043 OTUs in experimental plots is shown in Data S2, while the dominant sequence of each OTU is shown in Data S3. For ecological analysis, all assignments with similarity below 80% were excluded, leaving 1,226 OTUs. The majority of OTUs were assigned to the following five eukaryotic supergroups in decreasing order of taxonomic richness (i.e., 614, 214, 152, 99 and 83 OTUs): Opisthokonta, Alveolata, Archaeplastida, Rhizaria, respectively. A total of 369 OTUs (26.4% of the total number of OTUs) were assigned to taxa with a similarity above 97%. Among these, 250 were assigned to fungi and 119 to other taxa. Fungi OTUs reaching 97% of identity with the database constituted 40% of all fungi while only 16% of the other taxa reached the identity threshold illustrating the knowledge gap between fungi and protists.

Warming and water table manipulation effects on micro-eukaryotic diversity

The rarefaction curves (Fig. S2) clearly showed that the sequencing effort was not saturated at the sample level. However, when considering the whole dataset, the rarefaction curve indicated that the majority of micro-eukaryotic diversity was recovered. To compensate for the saturation variability among treatments, the OTU richness was calculated on a rarefied community in order to compare the effect of the different treatments. We did not observe significant differences in the slope of the rarefaction curves among water table or warming treatments (ANOVA, $p = 0.6$ and $p = 0.9$, for warming and water table treatments, respectively; Fig. S3). Linear mixed effect models revealed that Shannon diversity, evenness and rarefied OTU richness differed significantly along WTD and GDD (ANOVA, $p < 0.01$, Table S3). All diversity indices declined significantly with increasing WTD (Fig. 2, $\text{cor} = -0.3$ for all diversity measures, $p < 0.05$). No significant correlation

was observed between GDD and biodiversity metrics. Shannon diversity and OTU richness were higher in autumn as compared to summer and spring. No significant difference was observed between warming treatments. The Shannon diversity, evenness, OTU richness and rarefied OTU richness in each analysed sample are given in the [Table S4](#).

Micro-eukaryotic community response to the experimental manipulation

The redundancy analysis (RDA) revealed significant correlations between micro-eukaryotic communities and WTD (*anova.cca* permutation test, $p = 0.001$), GDD ($p = 0.001$) and season ($p = 0.001$). The full RDA model explained 22.5%, most of this (16.6%) being explained by seasons. To disentangle the seasonal effects from the influence of other environmental factors, we performed RDA with season as a conditional variable ([Fig. 3](#)). Here again, WTD ($p = 0.014$) and GDD ($p = 0.017$) were significant. The partial RDA model explained 5.9% ($p = 0.003$) of the variance. RDA model with water level and temperature treatments as variables and with season as a conditional variable revealed significant differences between water level treatments ($p = 0.001$), while the difference between temperature treatments was insignificant ($p = 0.147$; [Fig. S4](#)).

Among the 1,226 OTUs, 216 were considered autotrophs, 52 mixotrophs, 42 parasites, 579 osmotrophs and 319 phagotrophs ([Table 1](#)). Eighteen OTUs that could not be placed phylogenetically within any phylum, and therefore could not be functionally assigned, were excluded from the analysis. In the DRY treatment in spring, we observed a higher relative abundance of autotrophs and phagotrophs and a lower relative abundance of osmotrophs and parasites as compared to the WET and CON treatments. No such difference between treatments was observed in summer and autumn ([Fig. 4](#)).

Micro-eukaryotic indicators of global change

The indicator species analysis with each treatment as a separate group, allowed identifying 109 indicator OTUs ([Table S5](#)). A total of 96 OTUs were DRY indicators, 10 were WET indicators and three were identified as CON indicators ($p < 0.05$). Among the 96 DRY indicators, 28 were assigned to Fungi, 23 to Chlorophyta, 17 to Ciliophora, 14 to Cercozoa, six to Dinophyta, two to Cryptophyta and Stramenopiles, one to Apicomplexa, Discoba, Mesomycetozoa and Streptophyta. The DRY indicator with the highest indicator value was OTU_X77 (100% similarity with *Cortinarius* sp.) which had an indicator value of 0.963. The relative abundance of this OTU was highest in autumn ([Fig. 5](#)). Among the 10 DRY indicators with the highest indicator value, five were assigned to Archaeplastida (including four assigned to Trebouxiophyceae), four to Fungi and one to Alveolata (Colpodidae; [Table 2](#)). The increase of the relative abundance of autotrophs in spring corresponded to indicator algae adapted to dry soil environment and capable of symbiosis with lichens, such as *Elliptochloris*, (Trebouxiophyceae, [Metz et al., 2019](#)). The relative abundance of OTUs assigned to *Elliptochloris*, predominantly terrestrial taxa ([Gustavs et al., 2017](#); [Rindi, Hodkinson & Jones, 2011](#)), increased from 1% in CON plots in May to 6% in DRY plots in May ([Fig. S5](#)), showing a shift towards a more terrestrial community.

A total of 27 indicators were identified for ambient vs OTC, nine of which were indicators of ambient temperature and 18 were indicators of warming ($p < 0.05$; [Table S6](#)).




Figure 2 Diversity measures (Shannon diversity - A, evenness - B and rarefied OTU richness - C) plotted against water table depth (WTD (cm)). Colours indicate different seasons: green for spring, yellow for summer and blue for autumn sampling campaigns. Filled symbols indicate warming (OTC), empty symbols indicate ambient temperature. Water table treatments are indicated by symbols: '■' = CON (control); '▲' = DRY and '▼' = WET. The solid line represents the coefficients estimated in the linear mixed effects model.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.9821/fig-2](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.9821/fig-2)




Figure 3 Redundancy analysis (RDA) ordination diagram showing patterns of eukaryotic communities on *Sphagnum* shoots as assessed by OTUs in the different plots. Season (spring, summer and autumn sampling campaigns) is specified as a conditioning variable (“covariable”) partialled out before analysis. Filled symbols indicate warming (OTC) and empty symbols indicate ambient temperature. Water table treatments are indicated by symbols: ‘■’ = CON (control); ‘▲’ = DRY and ‘▼’ = WET. Centroid of each water table treatment is connected with its members with lines. Percentages of variance explained are given for each axis.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.9821/fig-3](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.9821/fig-3)

DISCUSSION

Micro-eukaryotes, as key components of terrestrial ecosystems functioning, are vulnerable to ongoing climate change, which threatens global biodiversity (Geisen, Wall & Putten, 2019; Urban, 2015) making it urgent to gain insight into upcoming changes. Micro-eukaryotes are vulnerable to extinctions (Cotterill et al., 2013) and species loss may lead to cascading effects (Pearse & Altermatt, 2013) and disrupt ecosystem functioning (Santschi et al., 2017). It is expected that in stable habitats where the majority of species are near their thermal optima, taxonomic diversity will decrease with warming (Woodward, Perkins & Brown, 2010). Analogically, in stable peatlands, where the majority of micro-eukaryotes are near their humidity optimum, the diversity in the *Sphagnum* layer can be expected to decrease under drier conditions. Here, we confirm these hypotheses as diversity was negatively correlated with WTD. The diversity decrease might result in local loss of specialized species adapted to permanently water-logged conditions. These disappearing species may be replaced by taxa better adapted to new conditions.

Conserving biodiversity is viewed as essential in part because our current knowledge does not allow to state which species are critical to the functioning of ecosystems and provide resilience and resistance to global changes (Chapin III et al., 2000). This is particularly true for micro-eukaryotes, whose significance in functioning of ecosystems has only recently been re-brought to light and which we know have important and sometimes unrecognized functions (Geisen et al., 2017).

The community composition of *Sphagnum*-associated micro-eukaryotes has only recently started being studied through molecular approaches. High throughput




Figure 4 Relative abundance of sequences assigned to functional groups by water table treatment and by season in the different plots. Taxonomic groups corresponding to each functional group are given in Table 1. Bars indicate standard errors. The relative abundance (%) is a number of OTUs in a given functional group, divided by the total number of all OTUs combined.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.9821/fig-4




Figure 5 Relative abundance of a selected DRY indicator (OTU X77) with the highest indicator value (0.96), assigned to Fungi, *Cortinarius* (see also Table 2). The relative abundance (%) is a number of a given OTU, divided by the total number of all OTUs combined.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.9821/fig-5

Table 2 Micro-eukaryote indicator OTUs and corresponding taxonomic assignment of DRY indicators with the highest indicative value. *P*-value indicate the probability to have a higher IndVal by chance.

Taxonomic assignment of OTUs		OTU code	Accepted taxonomic rank	Indicator value	<i>p</i> -value	Percent identity
Alveolata	Colpodida	X227	Colpodidae	0.887	0.001	95
Archaeplastida	Trebouxiophyceae	X104	<i>Elliptochloris reniformis</i>	0.941	0.001	100
	Microthamniales	X118	Environmental seq	0.933	0.001	100
	Trebouxiophyceae	X100	<i>Coccomyxa</i> sp.	0.916	0.001	100
	Trebouxiophyceae	X115	Environmental seq	0.864	0.002	100
	Trebouxiophyceae	X250	<i>Elliptochloris</i> sp.	0.84	0.002	95
Fungi	Agaricomycotina	X77	<i>Cortinarius</i> sp.	0.963	0.001	100
	Pezizomycotina	X43	<i>Venturia</i> sp.	0.957	0.019	100
	Agaricomycotina	X64	Agaricomycete	0.896	0.017	95
	Agaricomycotina	X180	<i>Lactarius</i> sp.	0.827	0.004	100

sequencing (HTS) studies revealed that taxa previously believed to appear almost only in aquatic environments are present in moss-associated communities. For example, Dinoflagellates were recently reported in moss-associated communities (Heger et al., 2018) and Chrysophyceae and Kinetoplastida in soils (Lentendu et al., 2018). We also recorded these three groups in our study. As we gain insight into the community composition of micro-eukaryotes, the information on the function of the micro-eukaryotes associated with *Sphagnum* remains largely unknown. Identifying which micro-eukaryotes form close associations with *Sphagnum* mosses and what influence they have on their host and on the functioning of the ecosystem, remain open questions.

Accordingly, the DRY plots were characterized by the presence of predominantly terrestrial taxa, among which many Trebouxiophyceae, such as *Elliptochloris* (Gustavs et al.,

2017; Rindi, Hodkinson & Jones, 2011). Soil microalgae are better adapted to dehydration, rapid changes in temperature and intense solar radiation than their freshwater counterparts (Gray, Lewis & Cardon, 2007). Several members of the Trebouxiophyceae form symbiotic associations with lichenizing fungi (Metz et al., 2019). These associations are primarily terrestrial and most of them cannot survive permanently water-logged conditions (Hawksworth, 2000).

One of the indicators of drought (OTU_X77) is related to *Cortinarius* sp., (Agaricomycetes). This ectomycorrhizal fungi, commonly found in peatlands, is associated with the roots of *Picea*, *Larix*, *Salix*, and *Betula* (Thormann, 2006). *Betula nana* occurred in our plots and *Pinus sylvestris* grew in proximity of the plots, and both of these species could thus be the host for the OTU_X77. Encroachment of shrub and tree species is observed in pristine and drained peatlands in response to water loss and/or warming (Berg et al., 2009; Fay & Lavoie, 2009). It determines a shift in plant formation towards forest ecosystem. This transition increases water losses through evapotranspiration (Fay & Lavoie, 2009). Our observation of the drought indicator OTU_X77 may, therefore, be an early sign of these transition. However, contrary to our expectation, we did not observe an overall increase in diversity and relative abundance of fungi.

The HTS approach allows for DNA-based species identification and biodiversity assessment (Taberlet et al., 2012) but does not directly inform on the species' function, activity or metabolic state. While functions can, to some extent, be inferred from taxonomy (Adl et al., 2019), detailed knowledge about the function of many protists is still missing. Nevertheless, our study allows already to identify taxa which should be studied in more detail in priority to better understand how the observed changes in their abundance will affect ecosystem functioning.

The presence of these new taxa suggests a shift in the species composition and structure of microbial communities in response to water table drawdown towards terrestrial taxa. We also observed an increase in the diversity and relative abundance of terrestrial algae during the late spring, at the time of spring blooms (Fig. 4). Earlier algae blooms can be triggered by climate warming (Peeters et al., 2007) as warming might physiologically facilitate algal growth (Barton et al., 2003). Shifts in algal blooms timing are known to affect biological interactions within food-webs, such as competition (Peeters et al., 2007). Therefore, further studies are necessary to better understand by which mechanism drought stimulates the appearance of terrestrial algae and its consequences for the food-web. The drought simulated in this work, as a result of the manipulation, was probably more severe than the simple water table fall of about 10 cm. Possible cause of such scenario is a change in the peat position in boundary layer, and so altered evapotranspiration leading to more extreme drying. We can also expect more convective effects further enhancing the drought effect.

Changing biodiversity is affecting ecosystem functioning and the resilience of ecosystems to environmental change (Chapin III et al., 2000). For example, increased species richness may accelerate the decomposition of peat as a result of synergistic effects (Salonius, 1981). These effects are likely to be observed in species-poor ecosystems, where the occurrence of new species entails new functionalities (Vitousek & Hooper, 1994). In species-rich

ecosystems, the impact of increased species richness on ecosystem processes is likely to be lowered due to functional redundancy ([Chapin III et al., 2000](#); [Johnson et al., 1996](#)). Although there is no universal link between species diversity and ecosystem processes, certain processes are being fulfilled only by a handful of species and loss of these species might have huge consequences for ecosystem functioning ([Chapin III et al., 2000](#); [Kardol et al., 2016](#)). Due to the scarcity of invertebrates in *Sphagnum*, micro-eukaryotic communities play major roles in the functioning of these ecosystems. Identifying *Sphagnum*-associated species which are vulnerable to climate change and inferring their function is useful to better predict future changes in the underlying peatland functioning and possible species loss ([Midgley et al., 2002](#)).

In a changing climate, the vulnerability of ecosystems is more likely to depend on community composition than on biodiversity ([Chapin III et al., 2000](#)). Changes in the relative abundance in favour of autotrophs at the expense of osmotrophs might affect the functioning of the ecosystem either by decreasing or by increasing decomposition rates, depending on how the food web structure responds. Increases in decomposition rates are, however, likely to occur deeper in the soil horizon where most of the decomposition processes take place, while our study investigated only the top part of the moss stem, where living *Sphagnum* dominates. In the DRY treatment, we identified some fungal indicators and we can expect that the appearance of these taxa might entail new functionalities in the ecosystem. Indeed, in the same experiment, ([Jassey et al., 2018](#)) did observe an increase in multifunctionality of enzymes in the DRY treatment. As pointed out by [Kostka et al. \(2016\)](#) *Sphagnum*-associated microbes might act as keystone species regulating C and N flow in peatlands. Changes in their community may therefore have consequences for the overall functioning of peatlands and their feedback on climate change.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study revealed substantial changes in the diversity and community structure of micro-eukaryotes, both at the OTU and functional levels, in response to experimental manipulation of temperature and water table depth, confirming our first hypotheses. Regarding the responses to water table variation, we observed a decrease in abundance and diversity of micro-eukaryotes, an increase in the relative abundance and a decrease in the diversity of eukaryotic micro-algae along with the water table depth. The increase in the number of autotrophs was due to the occurrence of predominantly terrestrial taxa (e.g., *Elliptochloris*, Trebouxioophyceae), indicating a shift from “*Sphagnum* community” to a “terrestrial community”. Furthermore, we showed a decrease in the relative abundance of osmotrophs, including Fungi and parasites, including Oomycota (Peronosporomycetes). Overall, our work provides insight into the composition of *Sphagnum*-associated micro-eukaryotic communities and their response to drought and warming. We also show the potential of these organisms as indicators of ongoing changes. Identifying indicators of climate change using HTS might be a useful tool to identify state of the ecosystem and the direction of changes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

MR would like to thank Rodolphe Schlaepfer for fruitful discussion on the statistics, Małgorzata Zielińska for her invaluable help during fieldwork and Amandine Pillonel for technical support in the laboratory work.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This research was funded by grants from Switzerland through the Swiss Contribution to the enlarged European Union (Project CLIMPEAT: PSPB-013/2010), the National Science Centre, Poland (Grant no.: 2015/19/N/NZ8/00172) and the program ‘Atracción de talentos de la Comunidad de Madrid’ (grant 2017-T1/AMB-5210 4). Monika K. Reczuga was supported by a SCIEX fellowship (grant no 13.311). Christophe Victor William Seppey was funded by the Research Council of Norway (Climarctic project 270252, and Methanobase 256132). The open access fee was funded from the resources of the School of Natural Sciences of the Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:

Switzerland through the Swiss Contribution to the enlarged European Union (Project CLIMPEAT: PSPB-013/2010).

The National Science Centre, Poland: 2015/19/N/NZ8/00172.

‘Atracción de talentos de la Comunidad de Madrid’: grant 2017-T1/AMB-5210 4.

SCIEX: 13.311.

Research Council of Norway (Climarctic project 270252, and Methanobase 256132).

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Monika K. Reczuga conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Christophe Victor William Seppey, Matthieu Mulot and Enrique Lara analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Vincent E.J. Jassey, Alexandre Buttler, Sandra Słowińska and Michał Słowiński conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Mariusz Lamentowicz and Edward A.D. Mitchell conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

Field Study Permissions

The following information was supplied relating to field study approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

Field experiments were approved by The Regional Directorate for Environmental Protection in Bydgoszcz (WPN.6205.70.2011.KLD).

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data are available in the [Supplemental Files](#).

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.9821#supplemental-information>.

REFERENCES

- Adl SM, Bass D, Lane CE, Lukeš J, Schoch CL, Smirnov A, Agatha S, Berney C, Brown MW, Burki F, Cárdenas P, Čepička I, Chistyakova L, Del Campo J, Dunthorn M, Edvardsen B, Eglit Y, Guillou L, Hampl V, Heiss AA, Hoppenrath M, James TY, Karnkowska A, Karpov S, Kim E, Kolisko M, Kudryavtsev A, Lahr DJG, Lara E, Le Gall L, Lynn DH, Mann DG, Massana R, Mitchell EAD, Morrow C, Park JS, Pawlowski JW, Powell MJ, Richter DJ, Rueckert S, Shadwick L, Shimano S, Spiegel FW, Torruella G, Youssef N, Zlatogursky V, Zhang Q. 2019. Revisions to the classification, nomenclature, and diversity of eukaryotes. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology* 66:4–119 DOI 10.1111/jeu.12691.
- Amaral-Zettler LA, McCliment EA, Ducklow HW, Huse SM. 2009. A method for studying protistan diversity using massively parallel sequencing of V9 hyper-variable regions of small-subunit ribosomal RNA genes. *PLOS ONE* 4:e6372 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0006372.
- Arndt H, Monsonís Nomdedeu M. 2016. Protozoans and global climate change in aquatic systems. In: Marxsen J, ed. *Climate change and microbial ecology: current research and future trends*. Poole: Caister Academic Press, 41–52.
- Baird AJ, Belyea LRB, Comas X, Reeve A, Slater LD. 2013. *Carbon cycling in northern peatlands*. Washington, D.C.: American Geophysical Union.
- Bardgett RD, Freeman C, Ostle NJ. 2008. Microbial contributions to climate change through carbon cycle feedbacks. *ISME Journal* 2:805–814 DOI 10.1038/ismej.2008.58.
- Barton AD, Greene CH, Monger BC, Pershing AJ. 2003. The continuous plankton recorder survey and the north atlantic oscillation: interannual- to multidecadal-scale patterns of phytoplankton variability in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Progress in Oceanography* 58:337–358 DOI 10.1016/j.pocean.2003.08.012.
- Basińska AM, Reczuga MK, Gąbka M, Stróżecki M, Łuców D, Samson M, Urbaniak M, Leśny J, Chojnicki BH, Gilbert D, Sobczyński T, Olejnik J, Silvennoinen H,

- Juszczak R, Lamentowicz M. 2020. Experimental warming and precipitation reduction affect the biomass of microbial communities in a Sphagnum peatland. *Ecological Indicators* 112:106059 DOI 10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.106059.
- Beck HE, Zimmermann NE, McVicar TR, Vergopolan N, Berg A, Wood EF. 2018. Present and future Koppen-Geiger climate classification maps at 1-km resolution. *Scientific Data* 5:180214 DOI 10.1038/sdata.2018.214.
- Berg EE, Hillman KM, Dial R, DeRuwe A. 2009. Recent woody invasion of wetlands on the Kenai Peninsula Lowlands, south-central Alaska: a major regime shift after 18000 years of wet Sphagnum-sedge peat recruitment. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 39:2033–2046 DOI 10.1139/x09-121.
- Boiński M, Boińska U. 2004. Plan ochrony rezerwatu Linje. Toruń: OIKOS, 1–56.
- Bonkowski M. 2004. Protozoa and plant growth: the microbial loop in soil revisited. *New Phytologist* 162:617–631 DOI 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01066.x.
- Bragina A, Berg C, Muller H, Moser D, Berg G. 2013. Insights into functional bacterial diversity and its effects on Alpine bog ecosystem functioning. *Scientific Reports* 3:1955 DOI 10.1038/srep01955.
- Bragina A, Oberauner-Wappis L, Zachow C, Halwachs B, Thallinger GG, Muller H, Berg G. 2014. The Sphagnum microbiome supports bog ecosystem functioning under extreme conditions. *Molecular Ecology* 23:4498–4510 DOI 10.1111/mec.12885.
- Cavicchioli R, Ripple WJ, Timmis KN, Azam F, Bakken LR, Baylis M, Behrenfeld MJ, Boetius A, Boyd PW, Classen AT, Crowther TW, Danovaro R, Foreman CM, Huisman J, Hutchins DA, Jansson JK, Karl DM, Koskella B, Mark Welch DB, Martiny JBH, Moran MA, Orphan VJ, Reay DS, Remais JV, Rich VI, Singh BK, Stein LY, Stewart FJ, Sullivan MB, Van Oppen MJH, Weaver SC, Webb EA, Webster NS. 2019. Scientists’ warning to humanity: microorganisms and climate change. *Nature Reviews. Microbiology* 17:569–586 DOI 10.1038/s41579-019-0222-5.
- Cayton HL, Haddad NM, Gross K, Diamond SE, Ries L. 2015. Do growing degree days predict phenology across butterfly species? *Ecology* 96:1473–1479 DOI 10.1890/15-0131.1.
- Chapin III FS, Zavaleta ES, Eviner VT, Naylor RL, Vitousek PM, Reynolds HL, Hooper DU, Lavorel S, Sala OE, Hobbie SE. 2000. Consequences of changing biodiversity. *Nature* 405:234–242 DOI 10.1038/35012241.
- Chiapusio G, Jassey VE, Hussain MI, Binet P. 2013. Evidences of bryophyte allelochemical interactions: the case of Sphagnum. *Allelopathy*: Springer, 39–54.
- Clymo RS, Hayward PM. 1982. The ecology of sphagnum. In: Smith AJE, ed. *Bryophyte ecology*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 229–289.
- Cotterill FP, Augustin H, Medicus R, Foissner W. 2013. Conservation of protists: the krauthugel pond in Austria. *Diversity* 5:374–392 DOI 10.3390/d5020374.
- Crotty FV, Adl SM, Blackshaw RP, Murray PJ. 2012. Protozoan pulses unveil their pivotal position within the soil food web. *Microbial Ecology* 63:905–918 DOI 10.1007/s00248-011-9956-y.
- Dai A. 2013. Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models. *Nature Climate Change* 3:52–58 DOI 10.1038/nclimate1633.

- Dakos V, Matthews B, Hendry AP, Levine J, Loeuille N, Norberg J, Nosil P, Scheffer M, Meester LDe. 2019. Ecosystem tipping points in an evolving world. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* 3:355–362 DOI 10.1038/s41559-019-0797-2.
- Davidson EA, Janssens IA. 2006. Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. *Nature* 440:165–173 DOI 10.1038/nature04514.
- De Cáceres M, Legendre P. 2009. Associations between species and groups of sites: indices and statistical inference. *Ecology* 90:3566–3574 DOI 10.1890/08-1823.1.
- De Vargas C, Audic S, Henry N, Decelle J, Mahé F, Logares R, Lara E, Berney C, Le Bescot N, Probert I, Carmichael M, Poulain J, Romac S, Colin S, Aury J-M, Bittner L, Chaffron S, Dunthorn M, Engelen S, Flegontova O, Guidi L, Horák A, Jaillon O, Lima-Mendez G, Lukeš J, Malviya S, Morard R, Mulot M, Scalco E, Siano R, Vincent F, Zingone A, Dimier C, Picheral M, Searson S, Kandels-Lewis S, Acinas SG, Bork P, Bowler C, Gorsky G, Grimsley N, Hingamp P, Iudicone D, Not F, Ogata H, Pesant S, Raes J, Sieracki ME, Speich S, Stemmann L, Sunagawa S, Weissenbach J, Wincker P, Karsenti E, Boss E, Follows M, Karp-Boss L, Krzic U, Reynaud EG, Sardet C, Sullivan MB, Velayoudon D. 2015. Eukaryotic plankton diversity in the sunlit ocean. *Science* 348:1261605 DOI 10.1126/science.1261605.
- Delarue F, Laggoun-Défarge F, Buttler A, Gogo S, Jassey VEJ, Disnar J-R. 2011. Effects of short-term ecosystem experimental warming on water-extractable organic matter in an ombrotrophic Sphagnum peatland (Le Forbonnet, France). *Organic Geochemistry* 42:1016–1024 DOI 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2011.07.005.
- Dorrepaal E, Toet S, van Logtestijn RSP, Swart E, Weg MJvande, Callaghan TV, Aerts R. 2009. Carbon respiration from subsurface peat accelerated by climate warming in the subarctic. *Nature* 460:616–619 DOI 10.1038/nature08216.
- Dufrêne M, Legendre P. 1997. Species assemblages and indicator species: the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. *Ecological Monographs* 67:345–366 DOI 10.1890/0012-9615(1997)067[0345:Saaist]2.0.Co;2.
- Fay E, Lavoie C. 2009. The impact of birch seedlings on evapotranspiration from a mined peatland: an experimental study in southern Quebec, Canada. *Mires and Peat* 5:1–7.
- Fell JW, Scorzetti G, Connell L, Craig S. 2006. Biodiversity of micro-eukaryotes in Antarctic Dry Valley soils with <5% soil moisture. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 38:3107–3119 DOI 10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.01.014.
- Freixa A, Acuna V, Casellas M, Pecheva S, Romani AM. 2017. Warmer night-time temperature promotes microbial heterotrophic activity and modifies stream sediment community. *Global Change Biology* 23:3825–3837 DOI 10.1111/gcb.13664.
- Geisen S. 2016a. The bacterial-fungal energy channel concept challenged by enormous functional versatility of soil protists. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 102:22–25 DOI 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.06.013.
- Geisen S. 2016b. Thorough high-throughput sequencing analyses unravels huge diversities of soil parasitic protists. *Environmental Microbiology* 18:1669–1672 DOI 10.1111/1462-2920.13309.

- Geisen S, Laros I, Vizcaino A, Bonkowski M, De Groot GA. 2015. Not all are free-living: high-throughput DNA metabarcoding reveals a diverse community of protists parasitizing soil metazoa. *Molecular Ecology* 24:4556–4569 DOI 10.1111/mec.13238.
- Geisen S, Mitchell EAD, Adl S, Bonkowski M, Dunthorn M, Ekelund F, Fernández LD, Jousset A, Krashevskaya V, Singer D, Spiegel FW, Walochnik J, Lara E. 2018. Soil protists: a fertile frontier in soil biology research. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 42:293–323 DOI 10.1093/femsre/fuy006.
- Geisen S, Mitchell EAD, Wilkinson DM, Adl S, Bonkowski M, Brown MW, Fiore-Donno AM, Heger TJ, Jassey VEJ, Krashevskaya V, Lahr DJG, Marcisz K, Mulot M, Payne R, Singer D, Anderson OR, Charman DJ, Ekelund F, Griffiths BS, Rønn R, Smirnov A, Bass D, Belbahri L, Berney C, Blandenier Q, Chatzinotas A, Clarholm M, Dunthorn M, Feest A, Fernández LD, Foissner W, Fournier B, Gentekaki E, Hájek M, Helder J, Jousset A, Koller R, Kumar S, Terza ALa, Lamentowicz M, Mazei Y, Santos SS, Seppey CVW, Spiegel FW, Walochnik J, Winding A, Lara E. 2017. Soil protistology rebooted: 30 fundamental questions to start with. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 111:94–103 DOI 10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.04.001.
- Geisen S, Wall DH, Van der Putten WH. 2019. Challenges and opportunities for soil biodiversity in the anthropocene. *Current Biology* 29:R1036–R1044 DOI 10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.007.
- Gilbert D, Amblard C, Bourdier G, Francez A-J. 1998. The microbial loop at the surface of a peatland: structure, function, and impact of nutrient input. *Microbial Ecology* 35:83–93 DOI 10.1007/s002489900062.
- Gorham E. 1991. Northern peatlands: role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to climatic warming. *Ecological Applications* 1:182–195 DOI 10.2307/1941811.
- Gray DW, Lewis LA, Cardon ZG. 2007. Photosynthetic recovery following desiccation of desert green algae (Chlorophyta) and their aquatic relatives. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 30:1240–1255 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01704.x.
- Guillou L, Bachar D, Audic S, Bass D, Berney C, Bittner L, Boute C, Burgaud G, Vargas Cde, Decelle J, Del Campo J, Dolan JR, Dunthorn M, Edvardsen B, Holzmann M, Kooistra WHCF, Lara E, Le Bescot N, Logares R, Mahé F, Massana R, Montresor M, Morard R, Not F, Pawlowski J, Probert I, Sauvadet A-L, Siano R, Stoeck T, Vaultot D, Zimmermann P, Christen R. 2013. The Protist Ribosomal Reference database (PR2): a catalog of unicellular eukaryote Small Sub-Unit rRNA sequences with curated taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids Research* 41:D597–D604 DOI 10.1093/nar/gks1160.
- Gustavs L, Schiefelbein U, Darienko T, Pröschold T. 2017. Symbioses of the green algal genera coccomyxa and elliphtochloris (Trebouxiphyceae, Chlorophyta). In: Grube M, Seckbach J, Muggia L, eds. *Algal and cyanobacteria symbioses*. World Scientific (Europe).
- Hahn MW, Höfle MG. 2001. Grazing of protozoa and its effect on populations of aquatic bacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 35:113–121 DOI 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2001.tb00794.x.

- Hawksworth D. 2000. Freshwater and marine lichen-forming fungi. *Fungal Diversity* 5:1–7.
- Heger TJ, Giesbrecht IJW, Gustavsen J, Del Campo J, Kellogg CTE, Hoffman KM, Lertzman K, Mohn WW, Keeling PJ. 2018. High-throughput environmental sequencing reveals high diversity of litter and moss associated protist communities along a gradient of drainage and tree productivity. *Environmental Microbiology* DOI 10.1111/1462-2920.14061.
- Jaatinen K, Fritze H, Laine J, Laiho R. 2007. Effects of short- and long-term water-level drawdown on the populations and activity of aerobic decomposers in a boreal peatland. *Global Change Biology* 13:491–510 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01312.x.
- Jassey VEJ, Chiapusio G, Binet P, Buttler A, Laggoun-Defarge F, Delarue F, Bernard N, Mitchell EAD, Toussaint ML, Francez AJ, Gilbert D. 2013a. Above- and below-ground linkages in Sphagnum peatland: climate warming affects plant-microbial interactions. *Global Change Biology* 19:811–823 DOI 10.1111/Gcb.12075.
- Jassey VEJ, Gilbert D, Binet P, Toussaint ML, Chiapusio G. 2011. Effect of a temperature gradient on Sphagnum fallax and its associated living microbial communities: a study under controlled conditions. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 57:226–235 DOI 10.1139/W10-116.
- Jassey VEJ, Meyer C, Dupuy C, Bernard N, Mitchell EAD, Toussaint ML, Metian M, Chatelain AP, Gilbert D. 2013b. To what extent do food preferences explain the trophic position of heterotrophic and mixotrophic microbial consumers in a sphagnum peatland? *Microbial Ecology* 66:571–580 DOI 10.1007/s00248-013-0262-8.
- Jassey VEJ, Reczuga MK, Zielińska M, Słowińska S, Robroek BJM, Mariotte P, Seppey CVW, Lara E, Barabach J, Słowiński M, Bragazza L, Chojnicki BH, Lamentowicz M, Mitchell EAD, Buttler A. 2018. Tipping point in plant–fungal interactions under severe drought causes abrupt rise in peatland ecosystem respiration. *Global Change Biology* 24:972–986 DOI 10.1111/gcb.13928.
- Jassey VEJ, Shimano S, Dupuy C, Toussaint ML, Gilbert D. 2012. Characterizing the Feeding Habits of the Testate Amoebae Hyalosphenia papilio and Nebela tincta along a Narrow Fen-Bog Gradient Using Digestive Vacuole Content and C-13 and N-15 Isotopic Analyses. *Protist* 163:451–464 DOI 10.1016/j.protis.2011.07.006.
- Jassey VEJ, Signarbieux C, Hättenschwiler S, Bragazza L, Buttler A, Delarue F, Fournier B, Gilbert D, Laggoun-Défarge F, Lara E, T. E. Mills R, Mitchell EAD, Payne RJ, Robroek BJM. 2015. An unexpected role for mixotrophs in the response of peatland carbon cycling to climate warming. *Scientific Reports* 5:16931 DOI 10.1038/srep16931.
- Jenkins KM, Boulton AJ. 2007. Detecting impacts and setting restoration targets in arid-zone rivers: aquatic micro-invertebrate responses to reduced floodplain inundation. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 44:823–832 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.01298.x.
- Jensen KD, Beier C, Michelsen A, Emmett BA. 2003. Effects of experimental drought on microbial processes in two temperate heathlands at contrasting water conditions. *Applied Soil Ecology* 24:165–176 DOI 10.1016/s0929-1393(03)00091-x.

- Johnson KH, Vogt KA, Clark HJ, Schmitz OJ, Vogt DJ. 1996. Biodiversity and the productivity and stability of ecosystems. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 11:372–377 DOI 10.1016/0169-5347(96)10040-9.
- Jürgens K, Sala MM. 2000. Predation-mediated shifts in size distribution of microbial biomass and activity during detritus decomposition. *Oikos* 91:29–40 DOI 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.910103.x.
- Kardol P, Spitzer CM, Gundale MJ, Nilsson M-C, Wardle DA. 2016. Trophic cascades in the bryosphere: the impact of global change factors on top-down control of cyanobacterial N₂-fixation. *Ecology Letters* 19:967–976 DOI 10.1111/ele.12635.
- Koenig I, Mulot M, Mitchell EAD. 2018. Taxonomic and functional traits responses of Sphagnum peatland testate amoebae to experimentally manipulated water table. *Ecological Indicators* 85:342–351 DOI 10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.10.017.
- Kostka JE, Weston DJ, Glass JB, Lilleskov EA, Shaw AJ, Turetsky MR. 2016. The Sphagnum microbiome: new insights from an ancient plant lineage. *New Phytologist* 211:57–64 DOI 10.1111/nph.13993.
- Kottek M, Grieser J, Beck C, Rudolf B, Rubel F. 2006. World Map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated. *Meteorologische Zeitschrift* 15:259–263 DOI 10.1127/0941-2948/2006/0130.
- Lamentowicz M, Galka M, Marcisz K, Slowinski M, Kajukalo-Drygalska K, Dayras MD, Jassey VEJ. 2019. Unveiling tipping points in long-term ecological records from Sphagnum-dominated peatlands. *Biology Letters* 15:20190043 DOI 10.1098/rsbl.2019.0043.
- Lamentowicz M, Słowińska S, Słowiński M, Jassey VEJ, Chojnicki BH, Reczuga MK, Zielińska M, Marcisz K, Lamentowicz Ł, Barabach J, Samson M, Kołaczek P, Buttler A. 2016. Combining short-term manipulative experiments with long-term palaeoecological investigations at high resolution to assess the response of Sphagnum peatlands to drought, fire and warming. *Mires and Peat* 18(1):17 DOI 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.244.
- Lara E, Mitchell EAD, Moreira D, Garcia PL. 2011. Highly diverse and seasonally dynamic protist community in a pristine peat bog. *Protist* 162:14–32 DOI 10.1016/j.protis.2010.05.003.
- Lentendu G, Mahe F, Bass D, Rueckert S, Stoeck T, Dunthorn M. 2018. Consistent patterns of high alpha and low beta diversity in tropical parasitic and free-living protists. *Molecular Ecology* 27:2846–2857 DOI 10.1111/mec.14731.
- Ljungqvist FC, Krusic PJ, Sundqvist HS, Zorita E, Brattström G, Frank D. 2016. Northern Hemisphere hydroclimate variability over the past twelve centuries. *Nature* 532:94–98 DOI 10.1038/nature17418.
- Mahé F, Rognes T, Quince C, de Vargas C, Dunthorn M. 2014. Swarm: robust and fast clustering method for amplicon-based studies. *PeerJ* 2:e593 DOI 10.7717/peerj.593.
- Marion GM, Henry GHR, Freckman DW, Johnstone J, Jones G, Jones MH, LÉvesque E, Molau U, Mølgaard P, Parsons AN, Svoboda V, Virginia RA. 1997. Open-top designs for manipulating field temperature in high-latitude ecosystems. *Global Change Biology* 3:20–32 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2486.1997.gcb136.x.

- Metz S, Singer D, Domaizon I, Unrein F, Lara E. 2019.** Global distribution of Trebouxiophyceae diversity explored by high-throughput sequencing and phylogenetic approaches. *Environmental Microbiology* 21:3885–3895 DOI 10.1111/1462-2920.14738.
- Meyer C, Desalme D, Bernard N, Binet P, Toussaint ML, Gilbert D. 2013.** Using testate amoeba as potential biointegrators of atmospheric deposition of phenanthrene (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon) on moss/soil interface-testate amoeba community microecosystems. *Ecotoxicology* 22:287–294 DOI 10.1007/s10646-012-1025-x.
- Midgley GF, Hannah L, Millar D, Rutherford MC, Powrie LW. 2002.** Assessing the vulnerability of species richness to anthropogenic climate change in a biodiversity hotspot. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 11:445–451 DOI 10.1046/j.1466-822X.2002.00307.x.
- Mieczan T. 2007.** Seasonal patterns of testate amoebae and ciliates in three peatbogs: relationship to bacteria and flagellates (Poleski National Park, Eastern Poland). *Ecology & Hydrobiology* 7:295–305.
- Mitchell EAD, Gilbert D, Buttler A, Amblard C, Grosvernier P, Gobat JM. 2003.** Structure of microbial communities in sphagnum peatlands and effect of atmospheric carbon dioxide enrichment. *Microbial Ecology* 46:187–199 DOI 10.1007/s00248-002-0008-5.
- Nufio CR, McGuire CR, Bowers MD, Guralnick RP. 2010.** Grasshopper community response to climatic change: variation along an elevational gradient. *PLOS ONE* 5:e12977 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0012977.
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Wagner H. 2014.** vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.2-0. Available at <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html>.
- Pearse IS, Altermatt F. 2013.** Extinction cascades partially estimate herbivore losses in a complete Lepidoptera–plant food web. *Ecology* 94:1785–1794 DOI 10.1890/12-1075.1.
- Pearson WR. 1999.** Flexible sequence similarity searching with the FASTA3 program package. In: Misener S, Krawetz SA, eds. *Bioinformatics methods and protocols*. Totowa: Humana Press, 185–219.
- Peeters F, Straile D, Lorke A, Livingstone DM. 2007.** Earlier onset of the spring phytoplankton bloom in lakes of the temperate zone in a warmer climate. *Global Change Biology* 13:1898–1909 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01412.x.
- Petchey OL, McPhearson PT, Casey TM, Morin PJ. 1999.** Environmental warming alters food-web structure and ecosystem function. *Nature* 402:69–72 DOI 10.1038/47023.
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, R Core Team. 2019.** nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-140 ed. Available at <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme>.
- Potter C, Freeman C, Golyshin PN, Ackermann G, Fenner N, McDonald JE, Ehbair A, Jones TG, Murphy LM, Creer S. 2017.** Subtle shifts in microbial communities occur alongside the release of carbon induced by drought and rewetting in contrasting peatland ecosystems. *Scientific Reports* 7:11314 DOI 10.1038/s41598-017-11546-w.

- R Core Team. 2012.** R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Raghoebarsing AA, Smolders AJ, Schmid MC, Rijpstra WI, Wolters-Arts M, Derksen J, Jetten MS, Schouten S, Sinninghe Damste JS, Lamers LP, Roelofs JG, Opden Camp HJ, Strous M. 2005.** Methanotrophic symbionts provide carbon for photosynthesis in peat bogs. *Nature* 436:1153–1156 DOI 10.1038/nature03802.
- Ray M, Kumar N, Kumar V, Negi S, Banerjee C. 2019.** Chapter 12 - microalgae: a way forward approach towards wastewater treatment and bio-fuel production. In: Shukla P, ed. *Applied microbiology and bioengineering*. Academic Press, 229–243.
- Reczuga MK, Lamentowicz M, Mulot M, Mitchell EAD, Buttler A, Chojnicki B, Słowiński M, Binet P, Chiapusio G, Gilbert D, Słowińska S, Jassey VEJ. 2018.** Predator-prey mass ratio drives microbial activity under dry conditions in Sphagnum peatlands. *Ecology and Evolution* 8:5752–5764 DOI 10.1002/ece3.4114.
- Ribblett SG, Palmer MA, Wayne Coats D. 2005.** The importance of bacterivorous protists in the decomposition of stream leaf litter. *Freshwater Biology* 50:516–526 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2005.01338.x.
- Rindi F, Hodkinson T, Jones M. 2011.** Terrestrial green algae: systematics, biogeography and expected responses to climate change. In: *Climate change, ecology and systematics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 201–228.
- RStudio Team. 2012.** RStudio: integrated development environment for R. Boston: RStudio, Inc.
- Rydin H, Jeglum JK, Hooijer A. 2006.** *The biology of peatlands*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Salonius PO. 1981.** Metabolic capabilities of forest soil microbial populations with reduced species diversity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 13:1–10 DOI 10.1016/0038-0717(81)90094-8.
- Samson M, Słowińska S, Słowiński M, Lamentowicz M, Barabach J, Harenda K, Zielińska M, Robroek BJM, Jassey VEJ, Buttler A, Chojnicki BH. 2018.** the impact of experimental temperature and water level manipulation on carbon dioxide release in a poor fen in Northern Poland. *Wetlands* 38:551–563 DOI 10.1007/s13157-018-0999-4.
- Santschi F, Gounand I, Harvey E, Altermatt F, Altermatt F. 2017.** Leaf litter diversity and structure of microbial decomposer communities modulate litter decomposition in aquatic systems. *Functional Ecology* 32:522–532 DOI 10.1111/1365-2435.12980.
- Schmidt O, Dyckmans J, Schrader S. 2016.** Photoautotrophic microorganisms as a carbon source for temperate soil invertebrates. *Biology Letters* 12:20150646 DOI 10.1098/rsbl.2015.0646.
- Singer D, Metz S, Unrein F, Shimano S, Mazei Y, Mitchell EAD, Lara E. 2019.** Contrasted micro-eukaryotic diversity associated with sphagnum mosses in tropical, subtropical and temperate climatic zones. *Microbial Ecology* 78:714–724 DOI 10.1007/s00248-019-01325-7.

- Taberlet P, Coissac E, Pompanon F, Brochmann C, Willerslev E. 2012.** Towards next-generation biodiversity assessment using DNA metabarcoding. *Molecular Ecology* 21:2045–2050 DOI [10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05470.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05470.x).
- Thormann MN. 2006.** Diversity and function of fungi in peatlands: a carbon cycling perspective. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 86:281–293 DOI [10.4141/S05-082](https://doi.org/10.4141/S05-082).
- Tsyganov AN, Aerts R, Nijs I, Cornelissen JHC, Beyens L. 2012.** Sphagnum-dwelling Testate Amoebae in Subarctic Bogs are More Sensitive to Soil Warming in the Growing Season than in Winter: the Results of Eight-year Field Climate Manipulations. *Protist* 163:400–414 DOI [10.1016/j.protis.2011.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protis.2011.07.005).
- Tveit AT, Urich T, Frenzel P, Svenning MM. 2015.** Metabolic and trophic interactions modulate methane production by Arctic peat microbiota in response to warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112:E2507–E2516 DOI [10.1073/pnas.1420797112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1420797112).
- Underwood AJ. 2009.** Components of design in ecological field experiments. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* 46:93–111 DOI [10.5735/086.046.0203](https://doi.org/10.5735/086.046.0203).
- Urban MC. 2015.** Accelerating extinction risk from climate change. *Science* 348:571–573 DOI [10.1126/science.aaa4984](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa4984).
- Vitousek PM, Hooper DU. 1994.** Biological Diversity and Terrestrial Ecosystem Biogeochemistry. In: Schulze E-D, Mooney HA, eds. *Biodiversity and ecosystem function*. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 3–14.
- Woodward G, Perkins DM, Brown LE. 2010.** Climate change and freshwater ecosystems: impacts across multiple levels of organization. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 365:2093–2106 DOI [10.1098/rstb.2010.0055](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0055).
- Yu ZC. 2012.** Northern peatland carbon stocks and dynamics: a review. *Biogeosciences* 9:4071–4085 DOI [10.5194/bg-9-4071-2012](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-4071-2012).